

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,907	09/26/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14829US02	9187
23446 7:	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		2131	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/672,907	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher A. Revak	2131		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	_
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the	į
non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment.	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. ☐ Other:	
CHRISTOPHER REVAK	

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued by the applicant that Colvin fails to disclose "registering a communication device deployed at a location that is communicatively coupled to the communication network' and that "Colvin does not disclose or suggest registering a communication device deployed at a location that is coupled to a communication network".

The examiner respectfully disagrees, for it is disclosed by Colvin of the user registering for use of license software, out of the registration information is information that which is specific to the computing device that which includes an address and other information such as an electronic serial number or motherboard serial number, see column 4, line 55 through column 5, line 6. The information is particular to a computing device and the licensed software will not work on another computer since it has not been previously registered with that device.

The applicant additionally argues that it is not taught of "receiving validation information relating to the communication device, the validation information entered via the communication device" and furthermore, "Colvin does not teach receiving validation information relating to the communication device where the validation information is entered via the communication device".

The examiner respectfully disagrees, Colvin teaches of receiving validation information relating to the communication device, the validation information entered via the communication device, see column 4, line 55 through column 5, line 10.

The applicant has argued that Colvin does not teach of "determining whether the communication device is authorized for use in the communication network, based on at least the validation information entered via the communication device" and "no validation information is entered via a communication device and no determination is made whether a communication device is authorized for use in the communication network".

The examiner respectfully disagrees,

The applicant contends that Colvin does not teach of "a storage device residing in a first home environment" and "a media device residing in a second home environment".

The examiner respectfully disagrees, the teachings of Colvin disclose of the devices being located in regional (geographic) areas, or within an organization or site, see column 6, lines 52-60 and as shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 shows computing and storage devices and the media device is interpreted as a computing device, such as a computer.

It is argued by the applicant that "activating a beacon signal is not equivalent to notifying authorities".

2. The examiner respectfully disagrees, Ishikoff clearly discloses of activating a beacon signal to notify authorities of the location of a device when it has been reported

Application/Control Number: 10/672,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

stolen, see column 1, lines 50-65 and column 3, lines 47-54. The applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. It appears that the applicant intends for specific meaning for the limitation of "beacon signal", it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., functionality of the beacon signal) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,907 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CR

April 30, 2006

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

14/30/06